

“What’s past is prologue”: the saga of percutaneous coronary intervention



José Ribamar Costa Jr^{1,2}, MD, PhD; Alexandre Abizaid^{1,2,3*}, MD, PhD;
J. Eduardo Sousa^{1,2}, MD, PhD

1. Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia, São Paulo, Brazil; 2. Hospital do Coração - Associação do Sanatório Sírio, São Paulo, Brazil; 3. Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

Drug-eluting stents (DES) were conceived to reduce in-stent neointimal formation, minimising the occurrence of restenosis. Their development was pioneered through a combination of the increased understanding of the biology of restenosis, the selection of drugs that target one or more pathways in the restenotic process, controlled-release drug delivery strategies, and the use of the stent as a delivery platform.

The first successful DES programme, the CYPHER[®] sirolimus-eluting stent (Cordis Corporation, Johnson & Johnson, Warren, NJ, USA), was initiated in our centre in São Paulo, Brazil. Between December 1999 and January 2000, 30 patients were enrolled in the sirolimus-eluting FIM trial and received the moderate (n=15) and slow-release (n=15) formulations of this device. Four months later, in only two days, we performed the follow-up of both cohorts, with quantitative coronary angiography (QCA) and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) evaluation¹. At that moment, with no sophisticated study design (not even a control group!), we were sure we were looking at a new technology which would revolutionise the interventional coronary field, as was later confirmed in hundreds of randomised clinical trials and “real-world” registries²⁻⁴.

In parallel to the broad incorporation of such devices into clinical practice, reports of new varieties of adverse events, such as very late stent restenosis and thrombosis, started to appear, raising concerns about the durability and long-term safety of the first generation of DES⁵⁻⁹.

In the current edition of the AsiaIntervention Journal, Kuramitsu et al¹⁰ present the very long-term results of their “real-world experience” with the CYPHER DES, including 985 consecutive patients

Article, see page 93

(1,307 lesions) treated solely with this device. The authors should be congratulated for their meticulous scientific work. Important observations can be derived from their experience.

First, invasive follow-up with angiography was obtained in 88% of the total cohort. Although currently routine repeat angiography is not recommended for the majority of patients treated with PCI, at the time of the recruitment (more than a decade ago!) little was known about the performance of that novel technology in complex scenarios, which explains the interest of our colleagues in obtaining this valuable information. We did the same with the first patients treated with CYPHER, who generously agreed to undergo

*Corresponding author: Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia, Av. Dr. Dante Pazzanese, 500, Ibirapuera, São Paulo, SP-04012-180, Brazil. E-mail: aabizaid@uol.com.br

angiography at 4, 12, 24 and 48 months^{1,11-13}. On the other hand, this systematic approach of routine invasive follow-up, combined with the high complexity angiographic profile enrolled in their registry (including bifurcations, coronary total occlusions, previous ISR, left main stem, etc.), might explain the relatively high target lesion restenosis (TLR) rates described in this publication (11.8% within the first year). Most of the “real-world” publications, including our local DESIRE registry¹⁴, pointed to a single digit TLR rate within 12 months of the procedure. Of note, due to the systematic invasive follow-up, the authors were able to identify stent fracture as one of the main determinants of CYPHER failure.

A recurrent criticism in the interventional cardiology field is that the results of studies are limited to midterm follow-up. In the present manuscript, our Japanese colleagues have shown that it is possible to deliver high-quality, very long-term clinical data (median of 8.6 years). Among their main observations, the authors observed a continuous growth in target lesion revascularisation after the first year of the PCI (2.2%/year) as well as in the incidence of definitive very late stent thrombosis (0.22%/year). The incidence of these events was not tempered by the years, still occurring up to ten years after the index procedure. Although many other registries have been able to demonstrate the steady increase in thrombosis and restenosis of first-generation DES after one year of their deployment, most of them have their follow-up limited to five years. Again, we think it is interesting to establish a parallel to our DESIRE registry, in São Paulo. Our registry, currently with close to 7,000 patients, was initiated in May 2002 and has successfully clinically followed 98.2% of the entire population treated with DES (first- and second-generation) in a single-centre tertiary institution (Hospital do Coração, São Paulo, Brazil). As in the present study, we observed a continual increase in the occurrence of DES thrombosis and TLR of 0.3%/year and 1.6%/year, respectively, after the first year of the procedure, with cases happening after the first decade of the PCI. Likewise, the predictors of MACE varied according to the time point. In **Table 1**, we present the independent MACE predictors in the DESIRE registry.

It is important to highlight that, despite these untoward events experienced with the first generation of DES, these devices were instrumental in positioning PCI where it is nowadays - the preferred revascularisation strategy for the majority of patients with coronary artery disease. Also, the drawbacks observed with those devices were necessary to prompt the development of a next generation of DES, combining thinner and more flexible platforms made of new alloys (cobalt-chromium, platinum chromium, etc.), more biocompatible (e.g., fluoro polymer, phosphorylcholine, etc.) or even absorbable polymers (PLLA, PGLA, etc.) and novel anti-proliferative agents (mostly sirolimus analogues or derivatives) or reduced doses of currently approved antiproliferative drugs.

The efficacy and long-term safety achieved with the current new generation of DES systems are very high and difficult to surpass; therefore, we believe that PCI will continue to play a pivotal

Table 1. Independent predictors of acute, mid and very long-term MACE (cardiac death, non-fatal myocardial infarct and ischaemia-driven target lesion revascularisation) in the DESIRE registry.

Acute DES performance (in-hospital phase)			
Variable	HR	95% CI	p-value
Stent length	1.02	1.020-1.028	<0.001
Age	1.02	1.01-1.04	<0.001
Residual stenosis	1.04	1.01-1.06	0.003
Use of first-generation DES	1.45	1.15-1.84	0.002
Treatment of SVG lesions	1.82	1.29-2.56	0.001
Midterm DES performance (>hospital discharge <365 days)			
Variable	HR	95% CI	p-value
Age	1.02	1.0-1.02	0.04
Residual stenosis	1.02	1.0-1.04	0.04
Stent length	1.86	1.29-2.67	0.01
Smoking	1.98	1.31-2.97	0.01
Treatment of SVG lesions	3.0	1.86-4.86	<0.001
Very long-term DES performance (>365 days [up to 13 years, median of 7.2 years])			
Variable	HR	95% CI	p-value
Age	1.01	1.0-1.03	0.004
Residual stenosis	1.02	1.0-1.04	0.009
Stent length	1.23	1.1-1.48	<0.001
Smoking	1.46	1.4-1.9	0.003
Previous CABG	1.54	1.12-2.11	0.007
Renal insufficiency	1.66	1.18-2.31	0.003
ACS	1.72	1.28-2.30	<0.001
Treatment of SVG	1.87	1.3-2.7	0.001
Use of second-generation DES	0.77	0.58-0.99	0.04

ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; CI: confidence interval; DES: drug-eluting stent; HR: hazard ratio; SVG: saphenous vein graft

role in the treatment of coronary artery disease. The current focus on metallic DES research is based on the development of technologies to promote faster and safer vessel healing, allowing the shortening of dual antiplatelet therapy and therefore minimising the bleeding risks associated with these medications.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Sousa JE, Costa MA, Abizaid A, Abizaid AS, Feres F, Pinto IM, Seixas AC, Staico R, Mattos LA, Sousa AG, Falotico R, Jaeger J, Popma JJ, Serruys PW. Lack of neointimal proliferation after implantation of sirolimus-coated stents in human coronary arteries: a quantitative coronary angiography and three-dimensional intravascular ultrasound study. *Circulation*. 2001;103:192-5.
2. Stettler C, Allemann S, Wandel S, Kastrati A, Morice MC, Schömig A, Pfisterer ME, Stone GW, Leon MB, de Lezo JS, Goy JJ,

- Park SJ, Sabaté M, Suttorp MJ, Kelbaek H, Spaulding C, Menichelli M, Vermeersch P, Dirksen MT, Cervinka P, De Carlo M, Erglis A, Chechi T, Ortolani P, Schalijs MJ, Diem P, Meier B, Windecker S, Jüni P. Drug eluting and bare metal stents in people with and without diabetes: collaborative network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a1331.
3. Stettler C, Wandel S, Allemann S, Kastrati A, Morice MC, Schömig A, Pfisterer ME, Stone GW, Leon MB, de Lezo JS, Goy JJ, Park SJ, Sabaté M, Suttorp MJ, Kelbaek H, Spaulding C, Menichelli M, Vermeersch P, Dirksen MT, Cervinka P, Petronio AS, Nordmann AJ, Diem P, Meier B, Zwahlen M, Reichenbach S, Trelle S, Windecker S, Jüni P. Outcomes associated with drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: a collaborative network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2007;370:937-48.
4. Kirtane AJ, Gupta A, Iyengar S, Moses JW, Leon MB, Applegate R, Brodie B, Hannan E, Harjai K, Jensen LO, Park SJ, Perry R, Racz M, Saia F, Tu JV, Waksman R, Lansky AJ, Mehran R, Stone GW. Safety and efficacy of drug-eluting and bare metal stents: comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized trials and observational studies. *Circulation*. 2009;119:3198-206.
5. Feres F, Costa JR Jr, Abizaid A. Very late thrombosis after drug-eluting stents. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2006;68:83-8.
6. Cook S, Wenaweser P, Togni M, Billinger M, Morger C, Seiler C, Vogel R, Hess O, Meier B, Windecker S. Incomplete stent apposition and very late stent thrombosis after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2426-34.
7. Siqueira DA, Abizaid AA, Costa Jde R, Feres F, Mattos LA, Staico R, Abizaid AA, Tanajura LF, Chaves A, Centemero M, Sousa AG, Sousa JE. Late incomplete apposition after drug-eluting stent implantation: incidence and potential for adverse clinical outcomes. *Eur Heart J*. 2007;28:1304-9.
8. Tajiri K, Sato A, Hoshi T, Kimura T, Nakagawa Y, Sakai S, Aonuma K, Watanabe S. Mechanisms explaining the late “catch-up” phenomenon after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;177:44-5.
9. Billinger M, Räber L, Hitz S, Stefanini GG, Pilgrim T, Stettler C, Zanchin T, Pulver C, Pfäffli N, Eberli F, Meier B, Kalesan B, Jüni P, Windecker S. Long-term clinical and angiographic outcomes of diabetic patients after revascularization with early generation drug-eluting stents. *Am Heart J*. 2012;163:876-86.e2.
10. Kuramitsu S, Matsuda H, Jinnouchi H, Yamaji K, Hiromasa T, Matsumura Y, Yamaji Y, Miura M, Domei T, Shirai S, Ando K, Kimura T. Long-term (7 to 10 years) clinical outcome after first-generation sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. *AsiaIntervention*. 2016;2:93-100.
11. Sousa JE, Costa MA, Abizaid AC, Rensing BJ, Abizaid AS, Tanajura LF, Kozuma K, Van Langenhove G, Sousa AG, Falotico R, Jaeger J, Popma JJ, Serruys PW. Sustained suppression of neointimal proliferation by sirolimus-eluting stents: one-year angiographic and intravascular ultrasound follow-up. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2007-11.
12. Sousa JE, Costa MA, Sousa AG, Abizaid AC, Seixas AC, Abizaid AS, Feres F, Mattos LA, Falotico R, Jaeger J, Popma JJ, Serruys PW. Two-year angiographic and intravascular ultrasound follow-up after implantation of sirolimus-eluting stents in human coronary arteries. *Circulation*. 2003;107:381-3.
13. Sousa JE, Costa MA, Abizaid A, Feres F, Seixas AC, Tanajura LF, Mattos LA, Falotico R, Jaeger J, Popma JJ, Serruys PW, Sousa AG. Four-year angiographic and intravascular ultrasound follow-up of patients treated with sirolimus-eluting stents. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2326-9.
14. Sousa A, Costa JR Jr, Moreira AC, Cano M, Maldonado G, Costa RA, Pavanello R, Romano ER, Campos C, Haddad N, Abizaid A, Feres F, Mattos LA, Staico R, Sousa JE. Long-term clinical outcomes of the Drug-Eluting Stents in the Real World (DESIRE) Registry. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2008;21:307-14.